

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ouplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/554,250	07/05/2006	Ferdinand Mannle	061778.002	2992
7590 03/31/2009 James E Bradley Bracewell & Giuliani			EXAMINER	
			MULCAHY, PETER D	
PO Box 61389 Houston, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11003001, 174 77250-1369			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/554,250 MANNLE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Peter D. Mulcahy 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/554,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed "use" is not a statutory category of invention. These claim will not be further treated on their merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claims 1-13 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The claim terminology "conventional" and "convenient" renders the claims indefinite. These are subjective and meaningless when taken as claim language. There is no way to ascertain the metes and bounds of the claim. Clarification is required.
- The terminology "under formation of a fat-soluble metal compound" is not understood and is not further limiting.
- The claim term "like" is indefinite. It is unclear as to how this further limits the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,250 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

 The claim term "derivative" renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear as to how far "derived" the compound can be and continue to fall within the scope of the claim.

- The claim terminology "may be" and "per se known antioxidant" in claim 19, is indefinite. It is unclear as to how this further limits the claim
- The term "adapted" in claim 20 is indefinite and not further limiting. The terminology "the manufactured thermoplastic" has no antecedent basis.
- The term "setearate" in claim 22 is a misspelling. The term "poly(1-deken)" is not understood.
- 11. The terminology "chosen among" in claim 23 is indefinite.
- 12. The claim terminology "may be" in claim 25, is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-13 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boberg US 3,865,767.
- 15. This patent teaches the formation of degradation additives for thermoplastic polymers, see the abstract. The patent further teaches the reaction of a metal salt and a fatty acid, see column 6 lines 40+. The difference between the patent disclosure and the claimed invention is that the patent reacts FeOH with stearate so as to form FeOH(stearate), see the example. The reaction of the salt at its highest stable

Art Unit: 1796

oxidation state is obvious. Column 6 lines 6+ discuss the oxidation states of the salts. The higher state is acknowledged but not used. The OH group is stated to yield better solubility in polyethylene. It would be prima facie obvious to use the higher state of salt given the art recognized properties.

Information Disclosure Statement

 Applicants are reminded of their duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability if the claimed invention, see 37 CFR 1.56.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,250 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796